

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,923	04/16/2001	Shigeo Onishi	925-190	5436
23117	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			2823	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/834,923	ONISHI, SHIGEO			
navious naugn	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attachment to Advisory Action</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

CONTINUATION SHEET (PTOL-303 Application/Control Number: 09/834,923

Art Unit: 2823



Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/03/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue, "... Zurcher fails to disclose or suggest forming an insulation film and an adhesion film before forming a hole therein...". In response to this argument, Zurcher et al. teach forming an adhesion layer to alleviate concerns about adhesion between the polish stop layer 218 and barrier layer 208. As shown in Fig.11, for example, the polish stop layer is formed not in the "capacitor cavities" as recited by Zurcher et al., but at the top layer, before forming the cavities. Since the adhesion concern is between the polish stop layer and the barrier layer, not between the barrier layer and the dielectric sidewall of layer 206 and barrier layer 208, the disclosure at column 6 is a disclosure of forming the adhesion layer at the recited point in the process in one embodiment of the possible points in the process in which the step could be performed.

Also, applicants argue, "... Zurcher teaches that a Ti adhesion film may be formed just before forming the barrier layer...". In response to this argument, Zurcher et al. in column 6, lines 35 – 40, teach, "...if adhesion between polish-stop layer 2118 and barrier layer 208 is a concern, an adhesion layer (Ti, Ta, or the like) may be formed before forming barrier layer 208...". Therefore, Zurcher et al. do not teach forming the adhesion layer "just before forming the barrier layer" as argued.

Furthermore, applicants cite, "... Zurcher's Ti adhesion layer is never removed...Zurcher cannot possibly disclose or suggest removing the adhesion film Application/Control Number: 09/834,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

before the lower electrode in left in the protuberant manner as required by claim 1...".

In response to this argument, the adhesion layer would be removed in forming the hole in the process disclosed and discussed above.

Conclusion

2. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2823 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2823 via the Art Unit 2823 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center number is (703) 305-3432. The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2823 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Julio J. Maldonado** at **(703) 306-0098** and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by email via <u>julio.maldonado@uspto.gov</u>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Group 2800 Receptionist** at **(703) 308-0956**.

KM/ JMR 11/19/03

Primary Examiner